## REMARKS

In the Office Action of June 7, 2005, claims 44-66 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by Langseth et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,741,980. Claims 1-43 and 66-83 have been withdrawn from prosecution.

Langseth describes a personal intelligence network that delivers personalized information and transactional data from a database to individuals via e-mail, phone, PDAs or the like. As best shown in Fig. 2A, the system includes a variety of channels of information 40 that provide input to a data distribution system 42. The data distribution system outputs content either directly or through a variety of affiliates 202 using a variety of communication resources 22 such as the Internet, wireless communication and telephony. Fig. 2B provides more detail on the system.

A wide variety of content is contemplated for distribution by the Langseth system including sports information, business news, weather, travel information, financial information and a news channel.

Applicants' invention, however, is more narrowly focused on a method and apparatus for processing time series data. As indicated in paragraph 0029 of applicants' specification, a time series is "a set of data points sorted in order of increasing time." In a preferred embodiment of the invention, the time series is time-stamped as described at paragraph 0037 and shown in Fig. 1.

Langseth does not describe a system for processing time-stamped, time series data.

Langseth makes no mention of time series data which is defined by applicants at paragraph 0029 to mean "a set of data points sorted in order of increasing time." While Langseth does mention the distribution of information by e-mail which in some systems is dated, this does not constitute

1-NY/1978905.1 14

a time series or a system for processing a time series. And it does not anticipate the claimed system for processing time-stamped, time series data.

In the absence of any mention of time series data in Langseth, it is respectfully submitted that claim 44 is not anticipated by Langseth. Likewise, dependent claims 45-52 and 55-66 and newly added dependent claim 84 are not anticipated by Langseth.

Dependent claim 84 is believed patentable for the additional reason that Langseth does not suggest the ordering of data in accordance with its time-stamp or the provision of data to the processing modules in order.

Aside from the fee for an extension of time, no additional fee is believed to be due for filing this response. However, if a fee is due, please charge such fee to Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Deposit Account No. 50-0310.

If the Examiner believes a telephone interview would expedite prosecution of this application, she is invited to call applicant's attorney at the number given below.

Date December 7, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

Francis E. Morris

(Reg. No.)

Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP

101 Park Avenue

New York, NY 10178

(212) 309-6632

15

1-NY/1978905.1